25 W.Farrell

DECISION AND ORDER

OF THE

BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY

DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

The attached Stipulated	Decision in case number <u>W218</u> , is hereby adopted as the
Decision and Order of the Boa	rd of Psychology, Department of Consumer Affairs. An effective
date of June 21	, 2002 has been assigned to this Decision and Order.

Made this 22nd day of May , 2002.

Emil Rodolfa, Ph.D.

President, Board of Psychology Department of Consumer Affairs



BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California VIVIEN H. HARA, State Bar No. 84589 Supervising Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice 3 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-5513 5 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 6 Attorneys for Complainant 7 BEFORE THE 8 **BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY** 9 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 Case No. W218 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: 11 STIPULATION TO SURRENDER WALTER M. FARRELL, Ph.D. 12 OF LICENSE 120 Ford Street 13 Ukiah, CA 95482 Psychologist's License No. PSY 5603 14 Respondent. 15 16 In the interest of a prompt and speedy settlement of this matter, consistent with 17 the public interest and the responsibility of the Board of Psychology, Department of Consumer 18 Affairs, State of California ("Board"), the parties hereby agree to the following Stipulation to 19 Surrender of License, which will be submitted to the Board for its approval and adoption as the 20 final disposition in Case No. W218 21 **PARTIES** 22 Complainant Thomas S. O'Connor is the Executive Officer of the Board of 1. 23 Psychology who brought this action solely in his official capacity and is represented in this 24 matter by Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the State of California through Vivien H.Hara, 25 Supervising Deputy Attorney General 26 27 // 28

2. Respondent Walter M. Farrell, Ph.D. ("respondent") has been advised that he is entitled to be represented by counsel, but he has decided to represent himself in this matter.

3. On or about July 17, 1978, the Board of Psychology issued Psychologist's License Number PSY 5603 to respondent. The license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein. Said license expired on November 30, 2001 and is currently in delinquent status.

JURISDICTION

4. The First Amended Accusation in Case No. W218 ("Accusation") was filed before the Board and is currently pending against respondent. The Accusation, together with all other statutorily required documents, was duly served by certified mail on respondent on February 25, 2002, and the Order Compelling Psychiatric or Psychological (Substance Abuse) Examination, together with a letter containing instructions to complete such an examination within 30 days by making an appointment with Arlo Thomas, Ph.D for such an examination, were personally served on respondent on November 10, 2001. A copy of the First Amended Accusation No. W218 is attached hereto as "Exhibit A" and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS.

- Respondent is fully aware of the nature of the charges alleged against him in the Accusation and the effects of this Stipulation.
- Accusation, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his
 Psychologist's license. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights and that, but for this
 Stipulation, he would be entitled: (1) to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the
 Accusation; (2) to be represented by counsel, at his expense, in all proceedings in this matter;
 (3) to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; (4) to present evidence on his own
 behalf and to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production
 of documents; (5) to reconsideration and appeal of an adverse decision; and (6) all other rights
 accorded pursuant to the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

7. With these rights in mind, respondent freely, voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- 8. For the purpose of resolving this Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings and although respondent denies the allegations in the accusation concerning his treatment of patient D.R., he agrees that those charges against him, if proven at a hearing, would constitute cause for discipline against his license and that he gives up his right to contest these charges. Respondent acknowledges his conversation with Investigator Holloway and that he did not comply with the Board's Order Compelling Psychiatric or Psychological (Substance Abuse) Examination.
- 9. It is acknowledged that respondent ceased the practice of psychology in November of 2001 for reasons of failing health.
- 10. Respondent desires and agrees to surrender his psychologist's license for the Board's formal acceptance, thereby giving up his right to practice psychology in the State of California.

CIRCUMSTANCES IN MITIGATION

Respondent has been licensed by the Board of Psychology since July 17, 1978 and has never before been the subject of any disciplinary action.

RESERVATION

12. The admissions made by respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding or any other proceedings in which the Board of Psychology or other professional licensing agency in any state is involved and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceedings.

CONTINGENCY

13. This Stipulation shall be subject to the approval of the Board. Respondent understands and agrees that Board staff and counsel for complainant may communicate directly with the Board regarding this Stipulation, without notice to or participation by respondent. If the Board fails to adopt this Stipulation as its Order in this matter, the Stipulation shall be of no force

or effect; it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties; and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action in this matter by virtue of its consideration of this Stipulation.

AGREEMENT AND ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE AGREED UPON AND ORDERED as follows:

- 1. SURRENDER. Respondent hereby agrees to surrender his psychologist's license and all other indicia of his right to practice psychology in the State of California to the Board of Psychology or its representative on or before the effective date of this decision, and the Board agrees to accept this surrender in resolution of the matter.
- 2. COST RECOVERY. Should respondent seek reinstatement of his psychologist's license, he shall reimburse the Board the sum of \$5,000 for its costs of investigation and prosecution should his license be restored to him and should he then resume the practice of psychology in California.
- that if he ever files an application for relicensure or reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a petition for reinstatement. Respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations, and procedures for reinstatement of a revoked license in effect at the time any such petition is filed, and all of the allegations and causes for discipline contained in First Amended Accusation No. W218 will be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by respondent for purposes of the Board's determination whether to grant or deny the petition. Respondent agrees that he will not petition for reinstatement for at least three (3) years following the effective date of this decision. Respondent hereby waives any time-based defense he might otherwise have to the charges contained in the Accusation, including, but not limited to, the equitable defense of laches.

25 | //

//

26 | //

27 | //

//

1	4. Respondent understands that by signing this Stipulation, he is enabling the
2	Board to issue its order accepting the surrender of his license without further process. He further
3	understands that upon acceptance of this Stipulation by the Board, he will no longer be permitted
4	to practice psychology in the State of California.
5	<u>ACCEPTANCE</u>
6	I have read the above Stipulation to Surrender of License, and with full
7	knowledge of the force and effect of this Stipulation, I do hereby agree to surrender my license to
8	practice psychology to the Board of Psychology for its formal acceptance. By signing this
9	Stipulation, I recognize that as of 30 days after the effective date of its formal acceptance by the
10	Board, I will lose all rights and privileges to practice as a psychologist in the State of California.
11	I enter into this Stipulation freely, knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily.
12	DATED: April 25th, 2002
13	
14	WALTERM. FARRELL, Ph.D. Respondent
15	Respondent
16	By agreement of the parties, faxed signatures shall be as binding as original signatures.
17	y
18	ENDORSEMENT
19	The foregoing Stipulation for Surrender of License is hereby respectfully
20	submitted for the consideration of the Board of Psychology, Department of Consumer Affairs.
21	DATED: 27 ,2002
22	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California
23	of the State of California
24	Harry I Store
25	VIVIEN H. HARA
26	Supervising Deputy Attorney General
27	Attorneys for Complainant
28	

Exhibit A

Accusation in Case No. W218

STATE OF CALIFORNIA BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY 1 BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General SACRAMENTO. of the State of California 2 VIVIEN H. HARA, State Bar No. 84589 Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 California Department of Justice 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 4 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-5513 5 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 6 Attorneys for Complainant 7 8 **BEFORE THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY** 9 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. W218 12 WALTER M. FARRELL, Ph.D. FIRST AMENDED 120 Ford Street <u>ACCUSATION</u> 13 Ukiah, CA 95482 14 Psychologist's License No. PSY 5603 15 Respondent. 16 17 Complainant alleges: 18 **PARTIES** 19 1. Thomas S. O'Connor ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in his 20 official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Psychology. 21 2. On or about July 17, 1978, the Board of Psychology issued Psychologist's 22 License Number PSY 5603 to Walter M. Farrell, Ph.D. ("Respondent"). The license was in full 23 force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein. Said license expired on November 30, 2001 and is currently in delinquent status.. 24 25 **JURISDICTION** 26 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Psychology ("Board"), 27 under the authority of the following sections of the Business and Professions Code ("Code"). 28 A. Section 2960 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

FILED

"The board may refuse to issue any registration or license, or may issue a registration or license with terms and conditions, or may suspend or revoke the registration or license of any registrant or licensee if the applicant, registrant, or licensee has been guilty of unprofessional conduct. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but not be limited to:

- "(b) Use of any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code, or dangerous drug, or any alcoholic beverage to an extent or in a manner dangerous to himself or herself, any other person, or the public, or to an extent that this use impairs his or her ability to perform the work of a psychologist with safety to the public.
- "(i) Violating any rule of professional conduct promulgated by the board and set forth in regulations duly adopted under this chapter.
 - "(j) Being grossly negligent in the practice of his or her profession
 - "(r) Repeated acts of negligence."
- B. Section 2936 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board shall establish as its standards of ethical conduct relating to the practice of psychology, the code of ethics adopted and published by the American Psychological Association ("APA").
- C. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
- D.. Section 2964.6 of the Code states that an administrative disciplinary decision that imposes terms of probation may include, among other things, a requirement that the licensee who is being placed on probation pay the monetary costs associated with monitoring the probation.

E.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 5. D.R.¹ met respondent socially in the course of after work social gatherings with a group from the office building in which she and respondent worked. D.R. worked at a business where her office window was in direct line of sight of the front of the office building. The social gatherings took place at a local bar. D.R. learned much about respondent during their talks at the bar, and respondent would focus his attention upon her at these social gatherings. Respondent told D.R. much about himself, his family, and his practice. While D.R. was at work, she often saw respondent entering his office building while intoxicated; he was staggering and holding onto the banister while entering the building.
- 6. In the latter part of 1998, D.R. was in an automobile accident that caused short term memory loss, and she was taking medication for depression and paranoid symptoms. In or about December of 1998, D.R. asked respondent to see her professionally for assessment and psychological treatment. Even though D.R. knew about respondent's drinking, she described herself as "desperate" and wanting to know what was the matter with her. D.R. thought that respondent, because he knew her, would be better able to assess her problems.
- 7. D.R.'s first appointment with respondent was on December 31, 1998, and her second appointment was on January 7, 1999. Each time, D.R. smelled alcohol on respondent's breath, but he did not appear intoxicated. D.R. also indicated that on one occasion, the smell of marijuana emanated from respondent's office. Respondent charged, and D.R. paid, \$115.00 for each of these sessions. Itemized bills, including procedure code and respondent's taxpayer identification number were to be provided to D.R's health insurance carrier in order for D.R. to receive reimbursement for these payments. Respondent did not provide the itemized bills despite several requests from D.R. D.R. lost the ability to receive reimbursement from her insurance carrier because no itemized bills were presented before the time within which reimbursement would be provided expired

^{1.} Initials are used to protect patient privacy. Respondent will be given the full name of the patient pursuant to any request for discovery.

- 8. D.R. also asked respondent to submit disability forms to her employer for continued Worker's Compensation coverage, and such a form appears in respondent's records for D.R., which records were produced to the board's investigator, Ms. Noelle Holloway, on or about June 19, 2000. The form indicates a diagnosis of "generalized anxiety disorder 300.02 (DSM IV)." D.R. indicated that such a form was never provided to her employer, and that she stopped seeing respondent and engaged the services of another therapist to help her because respondent was unresponsive to her needs.
- 9. On May 19, 2000, board investigator Noelle Holloway went to Ukiah to interview respondent. She went to his office, but found it closed, and she was unable to locate his home, as the address on that street did not appear to exist. She finally found respondent leaving a bar on the street of his home address. In the interview, respondent indicated the following:
 - A. He has health problems, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and hemachromatosis and has therefore cut back on his practice, working only about 10 hours a week, mornings only. His patients are mostly older male adults.
 - B. He smokes four to five cigarettes a day and drinks two to three times a week, two to three drinks a day, mostly beer and vodka/grapefruit juice, but that he is not an alcoholic and has never attended patients while under the influence.
 - C. He occasionally smokes marijuana.
 - D. He has bartered his services for vegetables, car service, and garden work in the past and does some work *pro bono*. His office space is rented from friends who are understanding when he is late with his rent.
 - E. D.R. was a "very paranoid character disorder personality type." She would look out his window when in his office to see who was there. He was not sure if he had records on D.R. but he would mail Ms. Holloway a copy if he did or provide a treatment summary.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence/Repeated Negligent Acts)

- 10. Respondent's conduct, as alleged in paragraphs 5 though 9, above, constitutes gross negligence and/or repeated acts of negligence and/or violations of the APA Code of Ethics in that:
 - A. He entered into a professional relationship with a personal acquaintance, thereby risking patient harm by impairing his objectivity or allowing his personal feelings for the patient to interfere with the effectiveness of the therapy.
 - B. He failed to reach a clear agreement specifying compensation and billing arrangements.
 - C. He attended the patient while having alcoholic beverages on his breath.
 - D. He entered his office building in an intoxicated state.
 - E. He bartered for his services.
 - F. He failed to respond to the patient's requests for an itemized bill so that she could receive reimbursement from her health insurance carrier.
 - G. He failed to timely provide to the patient's employer and/or to the patient the requested disability evaluation form.
- 11. Therefore, respondent is subject to discipline under section 2960 through section 2960(i), (j) and/or (r) of the Code and section 2936 through APA standards 1.13, 1.17, 1.18, and/or 1.25.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Use of Controlled Substance)

12. Respondent's conduct, as alleged in paragraphs 5 through 9 above, specifically paragraphs 5, 7, 9B and 9C, constitutes the use of a controlled substance and/or alcoholic beverage to an extent that the use impaired his ability to perform the work of a psychologist with safety to the public.

28 | //

]/

13. Therefore, respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2960 of the Code through section 2960(b).

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Order Issued under Section 820)

- 14. On or about January 10, 2001, the Board issued an Order Compelling Psychiatric or Psychological (Substance Abuse) Examination ("Order") to respondent pursuant to a Petition for such an order presented by complainant herein and filed on December 19, 2000.
- 15. On or about December 17, 2000, the Board contacted Arlo Thomas, Ph.D. and asked whether he would be available to do the psychological (substance abuse) evaluation on respondent within the next few months. Dr. Thomas agreed.
- 16. On December 19, 2000, the Petition, Order, and a letter directing respondent to contact Dr. Thomas within 30 days for an appointment to do the evaluation were served by certified mail, return receipt requested, upon respondent at his address of record. These documents were returned to the Board office unclaimed.
- letter was attempted at respondent's address of record and other addresses. Many attempts at personal service were made, including eight attempts by the Ukiah police department. In September of 2001, the Board again contacted Dr. Thomas and asked whether he was still available to do the examination, and he indicated he would be available. The letter indicating that respondent must contact Dr. Thomas within 30 days and arrange for the examination was reissued, and personal service of the Petition, Order and reissued letter was finally effected upon respondent at his address of record, 120 Ford Street, Ukiah, California on November 1, 2001. Respondent has failed to contact Dr. Thomas for the evaluation, has not made an appointment for the evaluation or undergone the ordered examination.
- 16. Respondent has failed to comply with the order issued to him under Section 820 of the Code, and therefore cause exists for the suspension or revocation of respondent's license to practice psychology pursuant to Section 821 of the Code.

PRAYER WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Psychology issue a decision: Revoking or suspending Psychologist's License Number PSY 5603, issued 1. to Walter M. Farrell, Ph.D.; Ordering Walter M. Farrell, Ph.D. to pay to the Board the reasonable costs 2. of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and, if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring; and 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. DATED: February 8, 2002 **Executive Officer** Board of Psychology State of California Complainant

Farrell.AmendedAcc

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY CERTIFIED MAIL

In the Matter of the Accusation Filed Against:

Walter M. Farrell, Ph.D.

No.: W218

I, the undersigned, declare that I am over 18 years of age and not a party to the within cause; my business address is 1422 Howe Avenue, Ste. 22 Sacramento, California 95825. I served a true copy of the attached:

DECISION AND ORDER

by mail on each of the following, by placing same in an envelope (or envelopes) addressed (respectively) as follows:

NAME AND ADDRESS

CERT NO.

Walter M. Farrell, Ph.D. 120 Ford Street Ukiah, CA 95482 7001 2510 0001 2147 4494

ackmann

Vivien H. Hara Supervising Deputy Attorney General 455 Golden Gate Ave., Ste. 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004

Each said envelope was then on, <u>May 22, 2002</u>, sealed and deposited in the United States mail at Sacramento, California, the county in which I am employed, as certified mail, with the postage thereon fully prepaid, and return receipt requested.

Executed on, <u>May 22, 2002</u>, at Sacramento, California. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Mary Laackmann Enforcement Analyst